

did not Locks-Holtz
Marx put himself up + in the
darkness of his closet spray out
his philosophy - such philo. are
suspect, lack the very stuff
of which adequate philo. stories
must be made. Contact with
the thought of other + with world
as it is. - Mis above criticism in this
point - studied for you

This basic assumption that all
objective phenomena are syntheses
resulting from theses + anti theses
at work in the world - cannot be
challenged

But he neglects all categories
of theses + anti theses except the economic
+ so defines the economic as to
include all others

He has right to his basic philo.
but its use (application) violates
accepted rules of logic + consistency
to such a degree that practical
work of his econ. interpret of
hist. may legitimately be questioned

That actually happens that every
few challenge M's basic philos
but most critical of its
application

minden a gajdasagi erik
folyománya

Bober M.M. K.M's interpret.
of history, P290 (Harvard
1927)

"M's theory is important to acct for hist
processes, for reason he failed to
ascribe suffic weight to ^{the} many
non-econ. agencies."

Altho M admitted the existence
of non-econ forces, he regarded them
as emanations of econ subail &
granted them the subord. function of
accelerating or retarding or slightly
modifying the workings of the mode
of production.

Fact is that M's "all import"
factors are secondary to others
genl. environment, with intelligence &
other traits, are THE primary & basic factors in
history - Mode of production is a derivative
phenomenon