

Closing paragraphs of his article:
"America on the threshold of war",
Kulügyi Szemle, Budapest, (Foreign
Politics Review), July, 1941:

" The champion of neutrality in the XX. century accepted almost one-sided obligations in every part of the world, ~~and~~ ^{she} ~~is~~ ^{not} the world events. As the "arsenal of democracy", she (U.S.) helps to strengthen the military resistance of Great Britain, Greece and China; with the promise of material help she tried for months to detain Spain and Vichy from joining the axis powers; not only does she not hinder the effective application of the British blockade, but maintains much closer cooperation with London as it is generally known. So far all this happened under the pretext of neutrality, behind the shelter of this atavistic remnant of international law.

The word "neutrality" seems to go into forgetfulness and slowly only the strategic and psychological viewpoints of cooperation with the British Empire will be the determinant factors. The ^{idea} ~~idea~~ of neutrality as it is defined by international law will be obscured by the international new order created by the Grossraumordnung or federalism. The structure of internationalism will only be stronger by the abolition of the institution of neutrality. Neutrality of either small or great countries can not be suitable to the expectable new spirit of the new world-political order of the XX. century.

It can be safely said that the U.S. in the future will not flirt with the isolationism built on neo-neutrality. The now downhearted apostates of collective security and the so-called realists, awakened from the delusion of isolation will meet in the timely solution offered by the actual situation."